

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/075,715	CHOPP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shirley V. Gembeh	1614	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Shirley V. Gembeh.

(3) _____.

(2) Amy E. Renaldo.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Discussed rejection: 102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Yes

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the evidence in the prior art (Moscowitz) and how using the compound first disclosed by Moscowitz) same compound claimed by applicant would have had the same function as claimed by applicant ie., promote neurogenesis.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)